IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO EASTERN DIVISION

ALISHA L. ELDER, :

Plaintiff, : Case No. 2:22-cv-3937

:

v. : Chief Judge Algenon L. Marbley

Magistrate Judge Elizabeth P. Deavers

DELAWARE COUNTY JAIL

:

Defendant.

ORDER

This matter is before this Court on the Magistrate Judge's Report and Recommendation ("R&R") recommending that this Court dismiss Ms. Elder's *pro se* civil rights action pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983. (ECF No. 4). Petitioner, a prisoner at the Delaware County Jail, commenced this action *in forma pauperis* alleging: (1) she was denied medical care for more than forty days; (2) she was denied the opportunity to attend court hearings on three occasions due to a shortage of jail staff, legal materials, and confidential phone calls with her attorney; and (3) she was twice retaliated against for reporting a Prison Rape Elimination Act ("PREA") incident. (*Id.* at 3). The Magistrate Judge recommended that this Court dismiss Plaintiff's Complaint because the Delaware County Jail is not an entity capable of being sued and Plaintiff's conclusory claims insufficiently pled a violation of her constitutional rights and a failure-to-train claim. (*Id.* at 4). The Magistrate Judge, however, recommended that this Court grant Plaintiff leave to file an Amended Complaint. (*Id.*).

The R&R was issued on November 21, 2022 and advised Plaintiffs that she had fourteen days to raise any objections. (*Id.* at 5–6). The R&R also notified her that a failure to object within the applicable time period would result in a waiver of the right to have the district judge review the R&R *de novo*. (*Id.* at 5).

This Court has reviewed the R&R. Noting that no objections have been filed thereto and that

the time for filing such objections under Fed. R. Civ. P. 72(b) has expired, this Court ADOPTS the

R&R (ECF No. 4) as this Court's findings of facts and law. This matter is **DISMISSED without**

prejudice, but Plaintiff is GRANTED LEAVE TO FILE an Amended Complaint to correct the

deficiencies within twenty-eight (28) days of this Order. If Plaintiff fails to file an Amended

Complaint, this Court will dismiss this action in its entirety.

Further, in accordance with this Court's ruling, Plaintiff's Motion to Appoint Counsel is

HELD IN ABEYANCE pending Plaintiff's decision to file an Amended Complaint. (ECF No. 5).

IT IS SO ORDERED.

CHIEF UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

DATED: July 12, 2023